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ABSTRACT

Shelf-to-basin stratigraphic studies of Devonian rocks in the Lemhi Range and Bayhorse area reveal new paleogeographic resolution of depositional environments across E-W and NNW-SSE transects. Lower and Middle Devonian mixed carbonate and siliciclastic strata (<600 m thick) include restricted shallow-marine deposits of the Carey Dolomite, unnamed marginal-marine deposits, and discontinuous paleovalley deposits of the Beartooth Butte Formation. Estuarine and inner shelf lithofacies with micro- and macrovertebrate faunal assemblages including thelodonts, pteraspids, acanthodians, osteostracans and placoderms allow basinward correlation with conodont-bearing deposits of the Carey Dolomite and associated unnamed strata. Fluvio-karst deposits and filled incised valleys in the Lemhi Range suggest that the exposed lower Paleozoic shelf had moderate topography and brackish(?) water fish habitat. Sea-level changes and shoreward migration of inner shelf environments beveled paleorelief and culminated in establishment of the Jefferson Formation carbonate ramp during Middle to Late Devonian time. Apparently the fish followed the transgression of shore environments, as they do not occur in these marine deposits.

The Jefferson Formation thins depositionally from about 900 m in the central Lemhi Range to about 100 m at Black Canyon (~75 km to the SSE non-palinspastic). Distinctly bedded accumulations of dolostone, limestone, and quartz arenite suggest peritidal and shallow subtidal environments. Most of the Jefferson Formation features shallowing-upward successions (1 to 20 m thick) of bioturbated and fossiliferous carbonate overlain by algal and laminated lithofacies with breccia caps. Three 3rd order deepening-upward sequences of the lower Jefferson (<393 m of Givetian and Frasnian strata) represent overall back-stepping of shelf environments. Depositional style changed during end-Frasnian time following a regional subaerial unconformity. Famennian upper Jefferson carbonates (<512 m thick) are interbedded with extensive stratiform breccias and shallow-water sandstone units. Carbonate solution breccias (artifacts after evaporites) with sandy cryptalgal dolostones represent restricted shelf environments and overall regression. Sparse fossiliferous beds mark continued subsidence with sea-level incursion, but open marine benthos is absent.
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INTRODUCTION

Over the past century, spectacular exposures of Devonian rocks in alpine and high desert areas of east-central Idaho have received much less attention than equivalent rocks in Nevada and Canada. Little is known of the east-west lateral changes of Lower Devonian paleovalley to marine systems or Upper Devonian facies changes of the Jefferson Formation. This report combines information from recent University of Idaho and Northern Arizona University Masters thesis projects (Wiler, 1992; Dehler, 1995; DeSantis, 1996; Grader, 1998; Fig. 1) and provides new lithofacies
descriptions and paleoenvironmental interpretations, and correlated lithostratigraphic measured sections. We describe paleontology, local and regional biostratigraphy, lithostratigraphy, and sequence stratigraphy in the Early to Middle Devonian miogeoclinal hinge zone (Beartooth Butte Formation, associated unnamed strata, and Carey Dolomite) and the lithostratigraphy of the Middle to Late Devonian Jefferson Formation.

In the Lemhi Range and Bayhorse area, 1600+ m (in composite) of Devonian strata are preserved. These rocks were deposited in a wide variety of environments ranging from fluvio-karst...
to outer shelf during a long-term on- and offlap of sea level (a ‘second turn-around sequence’ lasting ~40 m.y.). Lower Devonian deposits only are present from the Lemhi Range westward, but widespread Upper Devonian carbonates extend across the miogeocline to the Montana craton. These are generally understood to represent transgressive deposits during high Kaskaskia sea levels. Relatively thin deposits in Montana are associated with shallow-water dolostones in the Lemhi Range westward.
long hiatuses in contrast to thick deposits in Idaho (Fig. 2).

**Lower Devonian rocks**

Lower Devonian strata comprise interbedded and mixed carbonate and siliciclastic sedimentary rocks which grade from thin, discontinuous, non-marine to marginal-marine paleovalley deposits in the east (“Beartooth Butte Formation”), to thick, laterally continuous accumulations of storm- and tide-influenced, shallow and marginal-marine deposits in the Bayhorse area (Beartooth Butte Formation, unnamed strata, and Carey Dolomite; Figs. 1, 2, & 4). Disarticulated to semi-articulated early vertebrate (dominantly fish) assemblages are present in the marginal-marine deposits of the Beartooth Butte Formation and unnamed strata, and are absent (except for conodonts) in the more distal marine Carey Dolomite. These strata represent three depositional sequences.

Lower Devonian miogeoclinal accumulation of carbonate-dominated deposits in the Bayhorse area shifted to the Lost River Range and central Lemhi Range during Middle to Late Devonian time, where a NW trending pericratonic basin was formed (Central Idaho Trough - Wiler, 1992). Although we do not discuss how the carbonates of the shelf relate to the western Milligen Formation, extensional controls documented in the slope setting of the Milligen Formation in the Sun Valley area (Turner and Otto, 1995; Link et al., 1995) may also have affected the Devonian shelf in east-central Idaho.

**Middle and Upper Devonian rocks**

Middle and Upper Devonian rocks in the Lemhi Range are divided into discontinuous paleovalley units (vertebrate-bearing only at Spring Mountain Canyon) and lithostratigraphic members (D1 - D6; Fig. 2) as originally used for mapping purposes by Hait (1965). Peritidal, lagoonal and offshore biostromal deposits of the Jefferson Formation (Givetian to Frasnian Banded and Dark Dolomite Members - D1 to D3 members) are equivalent to the Elk Point through Winterburn Alberta Megacycles. These rocks are overlain by mappable shallow-water deposits of Famennian members D4, D5 and D6 which include thick, stratiform, structurally overprinted Famennian evaporite-solution breccia units (M’Gonigle, 1982), cryptalgal dolostone and (recycled) quartz sandstone. Members D4 - D6 are equivalent to the Grandview Dolomite and Logan Gulch Member of the Three Forks Formation (the latter are equivalent to the Paliser-Wabanum Megacycles, Figs. 2 & 12).

**Explanation of Devonian Geological Problems**

A number of overlapping topics of discussion provide insight into the Devonian depositional system in Idaho. We divide our studies into 1) Beartooth Butte Formation and associated strata with a focus on the biostratigraphy of micro- and macrovertebrate-bearing facies, lithostratigraphy, and sequence stratigraphy, and 2) the lithostratigraphy of the Jefferson Formation in the Lemhi Range. Common stratigraphic themes elucidating Jefferson depositional controls have been isolated to form two central, long-standing geologic questions: a) is isopach thinning towards the southern Lemhi Range the result of Devonian syntectonic and depositional (paleogeographic) processes, or is it an artifact of later deformation and erosion? And b) what role did eustasy play in controlling regional stratigraphic stacking patterns versus early subsidence due to the Antler Orogeny?

**Depositional versus tectonic thinning of the Jefferson Formation in the Lemhi Range**

Devonian paleovalley deposits and the Jefferson Formation measure 1174+ m in the Gilmore area of the central Lemhi Range (Ruppel and Lopez, 1988); seventy five km SSE of Gilmore (non-palinspastic), near Black and Middle Canyons, these rocks thin to ~110 m (Fig. 1). Although southeastern isopach thinning was previously attributed to structural thinning (Mapel and Sandberg, 1968), Hait (1987) re-emphasized the need to resolve the possi-
bility of depositional thinning. This led to the examination of Lemhi Range facies changes and resulted in the reintroduction of the concept of a long-term, passive Lemhi Arch (Grader, 1998).

The term “Lemhi Arch” was originally proposed on the basis of lower Paleozoic and Devonian isopach data by Sloss (1954). The Lemhi Arch was later modified to Tendoy Dome using isopach data from Montana (Scholten, 1957; Scholten and Hait, 1962). Ruppel (1986) adopted the term and extended its use to explain Proterozoic relationships. Ruppel’s concept of a Proterozoic “Lemhi Arch” was challenged and abandoned by Link et al. (1997) and Winston et al. (this volume). The term is here used sensu Sloss to explain Devonian facies changes in the Lemhi Range (Figs. 3, 10 & 11) and supports early regional analysis by Scholten and Hait (1962). With the later, but geographically associated Devonian epeirogenic “Southern Beaverhead Mountains uplift” (Sandberg et al., 1975), the arch helps to explain depositional thinning over the southern Lemhi Range paleohighland (before inferred, later erosional thinning).

Controls of Jefferson stratigraphic stacking patterns

With limited biostratigraphic control we recognize 3rd order stratigraphic sequences and environmental changes in the Jefferson Formation. Interpretations incorporate both autocyclic changes inherent to carbonate factories as well as allocyclic tectonic and eustatic controls. Tectonic interpretations of depositional controls for Upper Devonian strata and hiatuses have included intra-plate stresses (Sandberg et al., 1988a), incipient Antler convergence, and foreland uplift and subsequent tectonic inversion (Isaacson et al., 1983a; Dorobek et al., 1991). We acknowledge that complex possible foreland models and analogues have been suggested for the Late Devonian U.S. margin (Dorobek, 1995; Giles and Dickinson, 1995), but argue that tectonic depositional controls must interface with growing evidence for glacio-eustatic / climatic controls (specifically for Famennian strata).

Relative sea-level changes on the Jefferson shelf have been previously attributed to glacio-eustasy (Johnson et al., 1985; Dorobek et al., 1991) and we subscribe with more conviction to this hypothesis on the basis of evidence for Famennian Gondwanan glaciation and effects on equatorial continental shelves (Buggisch, 1991; Isaacson et al., 1998, in press). Eustatic fluctuations and evaporitic draw-downs related to Famennian climate change and polar glaciation may better account for the mixed lithofacies and hiatuses in the Famennian rock record of east-central Idaho (Isaacson et al., 1997; Fig. 2).

Table 1b. Simplified regional correlation chart of vertebrate fauna in western United States. Refer to Elliott and Ilyes (1995) and Dehler (1995) for more details.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vertebrate fauna</th>
<th>Beartooth Butte Formation equivalents</th>
<th>East-central Idaho</th>
<th>Beartooth Butte Formation</th>
<th>Beartoth Butte Formation</th>
<th>Beartooth Butte Formation</th>
<th>Cottonwood Formation</th>
<th>Northern Egan Range Nevada</th>
<th>Water Canyon Formation</th>
<th>Bear River Range Utah</th>
<th>Windmill Limestone</th>
<th>Simpson Range Nevada</th>
<th>Lost Burro Fm.</th>
<th>Lippincott Member</th>
<th>Death Valley California</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Osteostraci</td>
<td>Cephalaspis sp.</td>
<td>Cephalaspis wyomingensis</td>
<td>Cephalaspis sp.</td>
<td>Cephalaspis sp.</td>
<td>Cephalaspis sp.</td>
<td>Envisaspis sp.</td>
<td>New genus &amp; species A &amp; B</td>
<td>New genus &amp; species A &amp; B</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterostraci</td>
<td>Orestaspis sp.</td>
<td>Orestaspis sp.</td>
<td>Protaspis sp.</td>
<td>Protaspis sp.</td>
<td>Protaspis sp.</td>
<td>?Protaspis sp.</td>
<td>?Protaspis sp.</td>
<td>?Protaspis sp.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiopeltidae</td>
<td>?Caridipeltis sp.</td>
<td>?Caridipeltis sp.</td>
<td>Cosmuaspis transversa</td>
<td>Cosmuaspis transversa</td>
<td>?Cosmuaspis sp.</td>
<td>?Protaspis sp.</td>
<td>?Protaspis sp.</td>
<td>?Protaspis sp.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyathaspidae</td>
<td>?Cyathaspis sp.</td>
<td>?Cyathaspis sp.</td>
<td>?Cyathaspis sp.</td>
<td>?Cyathaspis sp.</td>
<td>?Cyathaspis sp.</td>
<td>?Cyathaspis sp.</td>
<td>?Cyathaspis sp.</td>
<td>?Cyathaspis sp.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tesserate forms</td>
<td>Lepidaspis sp.</td>
<td>Lepidaspis sp.</td>
<td>Lepidaspis sp.</td>
<td>Lepidaspis sp.</td>
<td>Lepidaspis sp.</td>
<td>Lepidaspis sp.</td>
<td>Lepidaspis sp.</td>
<td>Lepidaspis sp.</td>
<td>Lepidaspis sp.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thelodonti</td>
<td>?Nikolovia sp.</td>
<td>?Nikolovia sp.</td>
<td>?Nikolovia sp.</td>
<td>?Nikolovia sp.</td>
<td>?Nikolovia sp.</td>
<td>?Nikolovia sp.</td>
<td>?Nikolovia sp.</td>
<td>?Nikolovia sp.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placodermi</td>
<td>?Bryanthaspis sp.</td>
<td>?Bryanthaspis sp.</td>
<td>?Bryanthaspis sp.</td>
<td>?Bryanthaspis sp.</td>
<td>?Bryanthaspis sp.</td>
<td>?Bryanthaspis sp.</td>
<td>?Bryanthaspis sp.</td>
<td>?Bryanthaspis sp.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acanthodii</td>
<td>?Ochus sp.</td>
<td>?Ochus sp.</td>
<td>?Ochus sp.</td>
<td>?Ochus sp.</td>
<td>?Ochus sp.</td>
<td>?Ochus sp.</td>
<td>?Ochus sp.</td>
<td>?Ochus sp.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dipnoi</td>
<td>?Urannoaspis sp.</td>
<td>?Urannoaspis sp.</td>
<td>?Urannoaspis sp.</td>
<td>?Urannoaspis sp.</td>
<td>?Urannoaspis sp.</td>
<td>?Urannoaspis sp.</td>
<td>?Urannoaspis sp.</td>
<td>?Urannoaspis sp.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conodonts</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LOCATION AND GEOLOGIC SETTING

Localities of stratigraphic sections (Fig. 1) are shown in relation to Devonian isopachs and major thrust faults in Fig. 3. The study area is located in Basin and Range topography where the Rocky Mountain foreland and the Cordilleran fold and thrust belt overlap (Kulik and Schmidt, 1988; Skipp, 1988). Post-Devonian deformation in this part of Idaho has been discussed by Hait (1965), Beutner (1968), Dover (1980), Skipp (1988), Link et al. (1998) and Rodgers et al. (1995). Tertiary extension events are described by Janecke (1992; 1994). Although east-vergent, imbricate translation of rocks is commonly found in the field, the need for long-range telescoping and juxtaposition of lithofacies (e.g., Ruppel and Lopez, 1984) seems to have diminished with re-interpretation of regional geology (e.g., Rodgers and Janecke, 1992; Winston et al., this volume). Devonian facies changes in the field area appear to be laterally continuous and support this interpretation.

PREVIOUS WORK ON DEVONIAN STRATIGRAPHY AND PALEOGEOGRAPHY IN IDAHO

The stratigraphy and paleogeography of the Jefferson Formation, Carey Dolomite, Beartooth Butte Formation and associated strata in east-central Idaho is largely based on lithologic character and general reports with some biostratigraphy (Ross, 1947; Sloss, 1954; Churkin, 1962; Scholten and Hait, 1962; Sandberg et al., 1988a; Johnson et al., 1988; Hobbs et al., 1991).

Lower and Middle Devonian rocks overlie Silurian Laketown Dolomite and are overlain by Middle to Upper Devonian Jefferson
Formation; Lower Devonian and lower Middle Devonian strata are represented by unconformities in the eastern part of the study area (Fig. 2). These rocks, thus far, are dated by limited conodont data (Johnson et al., 1988; and references therein). The Beartooth Butte Formation is a time-transgressive, vertebrate-bearing paleovalley or marginal-marine deposit that is related to deposits in Montana and Wyoming (Dorf, 1934; Sandberg, 1961). Similar discontinuous paleovalley-fill deposits are present in the vicinity of the Lemhi Range (Mapel and Shropshire, 1973; Hoggan, 1981). Hays et al. (1980) recognized Lower Devonian Units A and B in the Bayhorse area where they overlie the Beartooth Butte Formation - Units A and B are overlain by or partly correlative to the Middle Devonian Carey Dolomite originally described at Fish Creek Reservoir (Skipp and Sandberg, 1975). In this paper, Unit A is referred to as the unnamed strata and Unit B is considered Carey Dolomite (Fig. 2). Stratigraphic analyses of these units by Dehler (1995) (summarized in this report) allowed correlation between the conodont-bearing conformable (?) Lower to lower Middle Devonian strata in the Bayhorse area to previously undated Devonian strata in the Lost River and Lemhi Ranges (Fig. 4).

The Middle to Upper Devonian Jefferson Formation was extended to Idaho from Montana by Ross (1934) based on the dark dolostones present below the Famennian Three Forks Formation.
Lower Jefferson rocks were differentiated from the older Carey Dolomite in the western part of the study area by Johnson and Sandberg (1977) and are regionally known as the “Banded” and “Dark Dolomite Members” (Isaacson et al., 1983b; Johnson et al., 1985; see Fig. 2). The Grandview Member of the upper Jefferson Formation is partially correlative with the Logan Gulch Member of the Three Forks Formation in Montana (Sloss, 1954). The lower part of this member is known as the “False Birdbear” (Sandberg et al., 1982). The stratigraphy of Jefferson rocks in the Lemhi Range received brief attention at their thinnest and most southern exposures at Black Canyon (Ross, 1961; Mapel and Sandberg, 1968).

Ruppel and Lopez (1988) and McGregor (1982) investigated Jefferson rocks and associated breccia units in the central Lemhi Range. These authors used six informal members (D1 - D6) originally differentiated by Hait (1965). Hait used their color and lithology for mapping purposes; Grader (1998) traced Lemhi Range facies changes and commented on their value as depositional sequences. Wiler (1992) studied and synthesized stratigraphic and conodont data in the Lost River Range and at Fish Creek Reservoir (Fig. 1). Wiler (1992) independently differentiated six lithostratigraphic units (similar to the six Lemhi Range members; Fig. 2) and related upper Jefferson clastic deposition to outer shelf forebulge uplift to the west.

Early studies of Paleozoic facies changes and thinning trends from the Tendoy Range to the Lost River Range led to the concept of a transitional hinge line between a Paleozoic shelf and geosyncline (Ross, 1934b; Sloss and Moritz, 1951; Scholten, 1957). Regional paleogeography and sea-level changes across the large Devonian continental shelf and miogeoclone were described by Sandberg and Poole (1977), Loucks (1977), Johnson et al. (1985; 1988) and Sandberg et al. (1988a). Detailed studies of Jefferson depositional environments in Idaho have focused mainly on a few sections in the Lost River Range but local depositional sequences are not well correlated.

Simpson (1983) and Wiler (1992) associated overall shallow subtidal and intertidal facies with the formation of the Central Idaho Trough and shallow-water environments to the west. More detailed studies of Devonian rocks have concentrated primarily on end-Frasnian coral /stromatoporoid buildups in deep-water(?) followed by sub-aerial exposure and shallow-water deposition, presumably the result of western outer shelf uplift (Isaacson et al., 1983a; Dorobek and Filby, 1988; Isaacson and Dorobek, 1989; DeSantis, 1996). Regional controls of lithostratigraphy and relative sea-level change in relation to Lemhi Range strata have remained unexplored.

**LOWER DEVONIAN PALEOVALLEY FILL AND CORRELATIVE DEPOSITS: BEARTOOTH BUTTE FORMATION AND ASSOCIATED STRATA (INCLUDING CAREY DOLOMITE)**

Basal Devonian vertebrate-bearing strata are present across east-central Idaho and allow preliminary correlation and application of sequence stratigraphy (Figs. 4, 7, & 8). In Spar Canyon (Figs. 1, 2 & 4), a 600 m-thick conformable(?) Silurian to Devonian succession contains Lochkovian and Emsian (and Eifelian) strata on the basis of conodont zonations (Johnson and Sandberg, 1977). These marginal-marine and marine strata comprise vertebrate-bearing (fish and conodonts) sandy dolomites, sandstones, and quartzites of the “Beartooth Butte Formation” (and associated overlying strata) and overlying conodont-bearing dolomites of the Carey Dolomite (Figs. 2 & 4). Distinctive fish-bearing strata at Meadow Peak and Warm Springs are equivalent to the dominantly carbonate deposits at Spar Canyon to the west based on lithofacies similarities and vertebral faunal correlations (Fig. 4). The latter deposits overlie the Laketown Dolomite with conformity but similar deposits are found in significant paleovalleys to the east in the Lemhi Range (Fig. 4). Deposits filling paleovalleys cut into Silurian and Ordovician rocks are located at Spring Mountain Canyon, Hawley Mountain and Badger Creek and show striking variations in sedimentology and paleoenvironments (Figs. 1, 4, & 5).

**Micro- and Macrovertebrate Paleontology and Biostratigraphy**

A diversity of fossils including invertebrates, trace, and vertebrates are present in a number of different lithofacies and are spatially summarized in Table 1a. The preservation of the fossils is generally poor; very little detail is recognizable and whole specimens are rare. The invertebrates are low in number and variety, and consist of crinoid columnals, stromatoporoid debris, sponge spicules and lingulid brachiopod internal molds. Bioturbation is common, and trace fossils including horizontal and vertical burrows are abundant. Vertebrate fossils are far more ubiquitous and consist of conodonts, tesserate elements (fish), fish scales, fish spines, fish shields and semi-articulated fish specimens (Table 1a). This section focuses on the vertebrate fauna due to their greater diversity and potential utility for biostratigraphic correlations of the paleovalley deposits with continuous restricted shelf strata to the west.

Although vertebrate faunal assemblages share transglobal similarities and as an assemblage usually indicate an Early Devonian age, few specific correlations can be made due to the endemic nature of each geographically distinct assemblage. The lack of specific correlations thus far has prohibited the use of these assemblages as better-resolution (i.e.; Epoch-level) age indicators. Biostratigraphic applications in this report demonstrate the potential utility of microvertebrate fish as Epoch-level index fossils for the Early Devonian.

A long-standing debate concerns the particular habitats of the early vertebrates. Worldwide evidence shows that the earliest vertebrates (pre-Devonian) are associated with predominantly marine deposits, whereas the vertebrates in younger deposits (post-Silurian) are found in mostly non-marine and marginal-marine facies (Boucot and Janis, 1983; Halstead, 1985, Dineley, 1988). This suggests that vertebrates occupied different environments through time; a progression from the sea landward into fresh waters. Data from our research indicate deposition in a variety of shallow- and marginal-marine environments (inner shelf, shoreface, tidal channels, intertidal zone). No vertebrate fauna are found in the lithofacies representing distal, lower-energy marine environments (outer shelf). These interpretations support the
idea that the habitat(s) of early (Devonian) vertebrate fish were in the shallow-marine and marginal-marine realm.

Macrovertebrates

The vertebrate macrofaunas were collected from the Warm Springs, Meadow Peak, Spar Canyon, Bradshaw Basin, and Spring Mountain Canyon localities (Fig. 1 & Table 1a). The Warm Springs locality has the greatest concentration and diversity of macrovertebrates. A similar fauna is present at Meadow Peak, although the vertebrate material is confined to only one horizon and is much less abundant. Laminated sandstone beds present at Spar Canyon and Bradshaw Basin contain lag concentrations of granule to pebble-size, unidentifiable heterostracan fragments.

The Spring Mountain Canyon “channel sandstone” contains the arthrodiran placoderm *Holonema* and the antiarch placoderm...
Asterolepis, as well as the pteraspid Psephaspis (Table 1a; Denison, 1968). This combination of fossils seemed to be problematic, for it was thought that the pteraspid line died out by the end of the Early Devonian, whereas Holonema is only known from localities that indicate a Middle or Late Devonian age (Denison, 1968). A precisely similar fauna from the Yahatinda Formation of Alberta, Canada has been dated by a spore assemblage that indicates a late Middle Devonian or earliest Late Devonian age (Aitken, 1966). McGregor (pers. comm. to Elliott, 1992) confirmed a late Middle Devonian (Givetian) age for the Yahatinda Formation based on further spore dating. The recent collection of additional material of the antiarch placoderm Asterolepis (this report) enforces the faunal likeness to the Yahatinda Formation fauna, confirming a Givetian age for the "channel sandstone" and supporting Denison’s (1968) theory that the pteraspid lineage persisted into Middle Devonian time.

A 17-meter-thick siltstone and mudstone unit is exposed below the "channel sandstone" at Spring Mountain Canyon (Figs. 1 & 5) and rests on the unconformity cut into the Ordovician Fish Haven Dolomite. This thin unit contains broken fragments of heterostracans that are too small to identify. No age-diagnostic fossils have been found in this unit. The other units in the field area which contain exclusively heterostracan fragments are Early Devonian. Therefore, it is likely that this heterostracan unit is also Early Devonian. Another possibility is that it could be a finer-grained facies of the Middle Devonian sequence above. To resolve this age problem, the mudstone was sampled for palynomorph analysis; however, no spores were recovered.

Microvertebrates

Conodonts have been reported from the Spar Canyon locality (Hays et al., 1980; Sandberg, pers. comm., 1993; Figs. 1 & 4) and are useful as age indicators for Pridolian, Lochkovian, Emsian and Eifelian stages. Acanthodian scales, tesserate heterostracans, and thelodonts have been discovered in the macrovertebrate-bearing units at Warm Springs and Meadow Peak (Table 1a, Figs. 1 & 4). The tesserate heterostracans are similar to Lepidaspis serrata and ?Aporemaspis pholidata of the Delorme Formation of the Northwest Territories and the Snowblind Bay Formation of the Canadian Arctic (Dineley and Loeffler, 1976; Elliott and Loeffler, 1988; Elliott, pers. comm., 1994). Other tesserate heterostracans were found in sediment residue from the Warm Springs locality although their identities remain unclear. The thelodonts have been identified as Nikolivia elongata and Turinia paget, (Elliott, pers. comm., 1994). A similar microvertebrate fauna is known from the Windmill Limestone in the Simpson Park Range of Nevada that was recovered from residues that contain conodonts and graptolites indicating the delta Zone of the Lochkovian (Table 1b; Turner and Murphy, 1988).

Faunal Comparisons and Ages: Local Correlations

The presence of the thelodont Nikolivia sp. and the tesserate forms Lepidaspis serrata and ?Aporemaspis pholidata at both the Warm Springs and Meadow Peak localities allows for a local biostratigraphic correlation (Table 1a, Fig. 4). Nikolivia sp., Nikolivia elongata, and Lepidaspis serrata are known from the

![Figure 5. Schematic Middle Devonian paleovalley depositional system and relationship to Lower Jefferson Formation in the Lemhi Range. Sections are hung from Givetian D1 marker beds, about 100 m above the base of the Jefferson between "A" and "B" on transect. Karst breccia at Hawley Mountain ("E") and red shale and non-marine conglomerates at Cedar Run and Badger Creek are associated with the Beartooth Butte Formation. Green shale with plant debris below channeled sandstone lithofacies with Eifelian/Givetian vertebrates(?) at Spring Mountain Canyon are also part of the Beartooth Butte Formation(?) at Spring Mountain Canyon. The Badger Creek area was the site of 1) Early Paleozoic facies changes, 2) long-term Devonian facies changes (see Fig. 10), and 3) a hinge point for Late Paleozoic basin inversion.](image-url)
been described at 8 measured sections and are summarized in Table 1b (Fig. 1). For more detailed descriptions of lithofacies refer to Dehler (1995) and Grader (1998). Six of these measured sections lie in an east-west transect from the Spring Mountain Canyon locality, Lemhi Range to the Bradshaw Basin and Spar Canyon localities, Bayhorse area representing the transition from paleovalley to restricted-shelf strata, respectively (Figs. 1 & 4). Lithofacies were grouped into five lithofacies associations for lithostratigraphic and sequence stratigraphic correlations (Table 2a, Figs. 4 & 7, see below). Two additional stratigraphic sections were measured at Hawley Mountain and Badger Creek (Fig. 1), and are briefly discussed and summarized in their relationship to the Banded Dolomite (D1 and D2 members, Fig. 9) of the Jefferson Formation in Fig. 5. These localities are of special interest because evidence of non-marine deposition has enhanced our understanding of regional paleogeography (Fig. 6).

**Hawley Mountain and Badger Creek Deposits (local non-marine and marginal-marine deposits)**

Carbonate breccia and mudstone deposits (150 m thick) are present in a paleo-depression at Hawley Mountain. Similar rock types fill in an incised valley at Badger Creek where basal, poorly-sorted, carbonate conglomerates (87 m thick), are overlain by sandstone, mudstone and dolomudstone (113 m thick) and are overlain by the Jefferson Formation (Figs. 1 & 5, Table 2a). These strata are lithostratigraphically associated to the (Eifelian?-Givetian) channel sandstone at Spring Mountain Canyon, yet this correlation is uncertain because no fossils were found (Fig. 5).

Geographically limited mass-flow deposits, channeled sandstone and interbedded dolostones suggest that periodically activated steep watersheds funneled terrestrial and locally-derived, recycled deposits into restricted estuarine environments.

The deposits at Hawley Mountain and Badger Creek are interpreted as marginal-marine(?) karstic collapse and non-marine debris flows associated with fluvo-karst processes (see Grader, 1998 and references therein). These deposits mark significant sinkhole and incised valley environments and may represent depositional responses to local sediment supply, climate, or tectonic factors. These rocks are landward equivalents of Association 2 (intertidal to shallow subtidal facies, Fig. 4, Table 2a) and are similar to facies present at the Beartooth Butte Formation type section (Fig. 5; Dorf, 1934). Due to the lack of fossils, no Epoch-level correlation can be made.

**Association 1 (marginal-marine, supra- to shallow subtidal)**

Association 1 is found at the Rancho locality and is problematic because it does not share lithologic similarities with other localities and does not contain fossils. The sandstone and quartzite is very similar to that of Association 3 (marine-shallow subtidal) and may be a shoreward facies equivalent to Association 3 at the Spar Canyon and Bradshaw Basin localities (Table 2a, Fig. 4). Association 3 at Spar Canyon records a shallowing-upward event by the change from conodont-bearing dolomicrite (outer shelf) to lingulid brachiopod-bearing sandstones and quartzites (shoreface). Association 1 at the Rancho locality also records a shallowing-upward event by the vertical change from a silty, sandy algal-laminated dolomite (intertidal) to a sedimentary breccia.
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(supratidal). If these two associations are related, based on their similar shallowing-upward trends, then somewhere between the Rancho and Spar Canyon localities would mark the early Lochkovian coastline (between the tidal zone of the Rancho locality and the upper shoreface zone at the Spar Canyon locality). A test of this hypothesis was attempted by the collection of conodont data at the Rancho locality, but unfortunately the samples were not productive.

Association 2 (intertidal to shallow subtidal)

Association 2 is present at the Warm Springs, Meadow Peak and Spring Mountain localities (Fig. 4). This association contains a Lochkovian vertebrate fauna at both the Warm Springs and Meadow Peak localities, and a Givetian vertebrate fauna at Spring Mountain (Table 1a). These faunas are also equivalent to those at the Spar Canyon locality (Fig. 4) based on conodont biostratigraphy. Lithologically, this association represents a shoreward facies equivalent of Association 3 at all localities and a basinward
equivalent of Association 1 at the Rancho locality (Fig. 4). Association 2 is also present in the upper Meadow Peak section, yet correlation is uncertain due to the lack of fossils. This association of quartzite and cherty dolomicrite unconformably overlies Association 4 (shallow subtidal) and is interpreted to be part of a younger sequence (younger than Lochkovian). These younger strata may correlate with strata near the top of the Spar Canyon section where there is a thin (2 m) bed of similar laminated sandstone (Fig. 4).

Association 3 (subtidal)

Association 3 is present at the Spar Canyon and Bradshaw Basin localities. These two localities correlate biostratigraphically, as well as lithologically, and are basinward facies equivalents to Association 1 at the Rancho locality.

Association 4 (subtidal)

Association 4 makes up the middle part of the Spar Canyon section as well as the middle of the Meadow Peak section (Fig. 4). At the Spar Canyon locality, it is lithologically related and is time-transgressive with the Meadow Peak association (Fig. 4). Deposition in the Spar Canyon area occurred earlier, and as the sea transgressed eastward deposition in the Meadow Peak area followed, probably during late Lochkovian time. Association 4 at Spring Mountain Canyon is lithologically similar to the other localities, yet Givetian (?) in age.

Association 5 (distal shallow subtidal)

Association 5 is found only at Spar Canyon and represents distal facies that were either never deposited or have been eroded away in the east. Emsian and Eifelian conodont zonations reveal continuous (?) deposition from late Early Devonian through early Middle Devonian time. The presence of Emsian age deposits at the type section of the Beartooth Butte Formation to the east in Wyoming suggests that deposition occurred in the Lost River Range area during the Emsian.

Sequence Stratigraphy

Third order sequences (1 – 10 my) identified across the E-W transect are summarized in Figures 7 and 8. One complete sequence (Sequence B) and two partial sequences (Sequences A and C) are recognized. These sequences are defined by two unconformities at the Meadow Peak locality which pass westward (basinward) into the conformable (?) stratal package at Spar Canyon and eastward into one unconformity at the Lemhi locality (Figs. 4 & 7). This convergence of unconformities is due to the pinch out of Lower Devonian strata to the east, indicating that Devonian strata were either not deposited there or were eroded. A sequence boundary is recognized at Spring Mountain Canyon where Lower Devonian (?) to Givetian strata rest on Ordovician strata (Figs. 2, 4, & 5). Here, Silurian and Lower Devonian (?) strata are missing and facies change abruptly from an open marine carbonate shelf to a clastic-dominated estuarine environment. Furthermore, karstic processes such as dissolution, brecciation and dolomitization that take place in exposed carbonate platforms have modified this surface (Choquette and James, 1988).

This sequence boundary is present at the base of a channel which is 170 m deep (Ruppel and Lopez, 1988) and cuts down through the Silurian Laketown Dolomite and into the Ordovician Saturday Mountain Formation. Deepening-upward deposits in this incised valley (Hoggan, 1981) and at Badger Creek indicate a traceable transgressive systems tract (TST Sequence C, Figs. 5, 7, & 12).

At Meadow Peak, two unconformities bound a Lochkovian stratal package of peritidal facies which deepens upward to inner-outer shelf facies. This package rests unconformably on the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LITHOFACIES</th>
<th>Common sedimentary structures and fossils</th>
<th>Depositional environments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>coarse-grained deposits with red mudstone</td>
<td>massive beds, poorly-sorted, angular to subrounded clasts (mostly cobbles), no fossils</td>
<td>incised valley fill - fluviokarst debris flows</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>crystalline carbonate associations 1, 2</td>
<td>structureless, thin to medium beds, no fossils</td>
<td>tidal pond or tidal flat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>channelled sandstone association 2</td>
<td>trough crossbeds, high-angle planar tabular and hummocky crossbeds, conglomerate lag deposits in fining-upward sequences, bioturbation, vertebrates</td>
<td>tidal channels, estuarine, shoreface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>laminated sandstone associations 1, 3</td>
<td>low-angle planar crossbeds, horizontal-planar, crinkly, and climbing-ripple laminations, horizontal burrows, bioturbation, lingulid, vertebrates</td>
<td>upper and lower shoreface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mixed dolomicrite and sandstone associations 1, 2, 4</td>
<td>fining-upward sequences, crinkly laminations, vertical burrows, bioturbation, vertebrates</td>
<td>intertidal (?), shoreface, and restricted shelf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>varied dolomicrite associations 2, 3, 4, 5</td>
<td>fining-upward sequences, crinkly laminations, bioturbation, stromatoporoids, crinoids</td>
<td>restricted outer shelf</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2a. Generalized lithofacies, lithofacies associations, and interpretations of depositional environments of the Lower Devonian deposits in the study area. Numbers represent lithofacies associations discussed in text and shown in Fig. 4.
Silurian Laketown Dolomite (partial Sequence A) and is overlain unconformably by another deepening-upward sequence (partial Sequence C) assumed to be Middle Devonian in age using lithostratigraphic correlation. Based on the overlying unconformity cut into the inner-outlet shelf facies, it appears that erosion has removed younger Lower Devonian strata and that a more complete sequence was once present (Fig. 7). Therefore, Sequence B and C at the Meadow Peak locality are transgressive systems tracts (TST, Fig. 7; Posamentier and Vail, 1988).

A conformable (?) section of Upper Silurian through Middle Devonian strata is present at the Spar Canyon locality and includes Sequences A, B, and C (Fig. 7). At the base of this section, a conformable sequence of middle-inner shelf dolomiticite shallows upward into laminated sandstone of the shoreface environment forming a lowstand wedge (top of Sequence A, base of Sequence B; Fig. 7). This lowstand wedge coincides with the Siluro-Devonian systemic boundary and correlates with the basal unconformity at Meadow Peak and the unconformity at the base of the Spring Mountain paleovalley. This sandstone deepens upward into inner-middle shelf dolomiticite.

The base of the TST (Sequence B) is recorded at Spar Canyon in the change from nearshore sandstones (top of the lowstand wedge) and dolomitic sandstones upward into pure dolomitic of the outer shelf. Above the TST, facies subtly shallow upward from dolomiticite and sandy dolomiticite of the inner-outlet shelf to dolomitic siltstone and sandstone of the inner shelf-shoreface. These are capped by a 2 meter-thick, laminated sandstone body that represents a second lowstand (sandstone facies) wedge, marking the top of Sequence B (Fig. 7). The top of this lowstand wedge would coincide with the beginning of another TST (Sequence C) which is evidenced by a deepening-upward succession into outer shelf crinoidal dolomiticite.

Incised valleys in the Lemhi Range area developed during times of low base level. These valleys may have been cut and filled multiple times. Fluvial systems probably aggraded and episodically backfilled these valleys during TST Sequence C, preserving the paleovalley deposits at Badger Creek (?) and Spring Mountain Canyon.

Spar Canyon Relative Sea-Level Curve

The Spar Canyon succession offers a potentially continuous record of deposition during the Early Devonian based on lithostratigraphy and conodont biostratigraphy. These data allow for the construction of a local sea-level curve (Fig. 8). The maximum lowstand represents the greatest progradation of the shoreline and corresponds to the laminated sandstone lithofacies (lowstand wedge) at the base of the Spar Canyon section (Fig. 7). The rises and falls of sea level are marked by relative facies changes, where each facies is assigned a number relative to the maximum lowstand. The maximum lowstand sandstone is assigned the number 0 and each successively deeper (or more distal) facies is assigned a progressively higher integral value (Fig. 8).

Six cycles within the Spar Canyon section roughly correspond with the transgressive-regressive cycles of Johnson et al., 1985 (Figs. 2, 8, & 12). Although there is not a good record of the Pre-Ia cycle in the western U.S., it is generally characterized by two subcycles that coincide with cycles in the Lochkovian strata of this study (Fig. 8). The inferred Pragian strata coincide with the Ia cycle, and the Emsian strata coincide with the Ib cycle. The number and distribution of cycles within the Lower Devonian strata at the Spar Canyon locality suggest that all stages are present and that cycles were eustatically controlled. However, many of the vertical facies changes involve only subtle environmental shifts (e.g., inner shelf to inner-proximal outer shelf) which could be produced by autocyclic processes.

The complete Pre-Ia cycle (Sequence B, Fig. 8) in the Spar Canyon strata spans the entire Lower Devonian epoch and represents approximately 10 my. This cycle length could be of second...
or third order of Vail et al. (1977). Since there is no evidence for glaciations during this time (Eyles and Young, 1994), it is probable that Sequence B was influenced by volumetric changes in mid-ocean spreading ridge systems.

**Depositional Model and Early Devonian Paleogeography**

Our depositional model suggests that western shallow-marine deposits in the Bayhorse area interfingered with a calanque (calanques are drowned river valleys within carbonate bedrock) coastline in the Lemhi Range (Fig. 6). This clastic-influenced, restricted carbonate shelf was affected by storms and tides as the sea transgressed eastward throughout late Lochkovian to middle-late Emsian time (Figs. 4, 7, & 8). Paleoenvironments include restricted outer and inner shelf, shoreface, tidal zones, and karstic-collapse-controlled incised valleys (Table 2a). Specifically, stromatoporoid build-ups and crinoid thickets of the Carey Dolomite flanked the restricted basin in the westward outer shelf area. The restricted shallow shelf of the Carey Dolomite (and associated unnamed strata) passed landward into a mixed siliciclastic-dolomite shoreline (“Beartooth Butte Formation” and unnamed strata), where storms occasionally affected an otherwise low energy, tide-affected coast. In the Lemhi Range (and on the Lemhi Arch), a digitate calanque coastline model is suggested as evidenced by a number of drowned carbonate bedrock valleys (“Beartooth Butte Formation” at Hawley Mountain and Badger Creek; Figs. 5 & 6).

The mixed siliciclastic-carbonate setting suggests some analogy to parts of the modern Belize shelf, although the Belize shelf is not tidally-influenced. The favored ancient analogue of the Beartooth Butte Formation and associated strata is the Emsian Sevy Dolomite in Nevada (Table 1b), which was chosen for its restricted fauna, vertebrate faunal assemblage, and quartz sand sheets with dolomitic beds (Smith, 1989). The Sevy Dolomite tidal flat complex exhibits fluvial influence and a high percentage of fabrics of supratidal origin versus subtidal facies, yet lacks karstic features (Smith, 1989). In Idaho however, structural (?) accommodation space at Hawley Mountain and Badger Creek allowed for the preservation of estuarine and fluvio-karst deposits that are not preserved in other Lower Devonian depositional systems (Figs. 5, & 10).

**THE JEFFERSON FORMATION IN THE LEMHI RANGE**

**General Lithostratigraphic Description**

The mixed siliciclastic and carbonate deposits of the end-Eifelian to Famennian Jefferson Formation in the Lemhi Range (Fig. 2) are divided into six members (D1 - D6, *sensu* Hait, 1965) using outcrop color and lithology. Nomenclature and general member description, thickness, lithologies and color are presented in a representative section (Fig. 9) measured at Liberty Gulch (Fig. 1). Figure 9 shows the Jefferson Formation in the Lemhi Range at its maximum thickness (904+ m) which is comparable to Jefferson rocks in the Lost River Range. The D4 member in Figure 9 is a composite section which includes southern Lemhi Range sandstones concentrated in the Badger Creek area (Figs. 1 & 10).

Figure 9. General Jefferson Formation member descriptions. Example from Liberty Gulch in the central Lemhi Range.

Ubiquitous basal Jefferson Formation quartz sandstones fill small channels cut into the Silurian Laketown Dolomite or earlier Devonian incised channel deposits (Figs. 5 & 10). Reintroduction of siliciclastic sediment and repetitive lithofacies with sharp...
Figure 10. Lithostratigraphic correlation and general environmental interpretations of the Jefferson Formation D1 to D6 members in the Lemhi Range.
bedding contacts are a common characteristic of all six members resulting in a banded appearance; the “stripy” D1 and D2 members are the most striking in this respect. In general, the lower Jefferson Formation D1 - D3 members crop out well and are predominantly dolostone. The upper Jefferson Formation D4 - D6 members (Grandview Member) crop out poorly and are characterized by mixed lithologies and carbonate solution breccia (Fig. 9).

Depositional setting

West of the Lemhi Range, laterally variable units similar to the D1 - D6 members are present in the Lost River Range (Fig. 2) and consist of peritidal carbonates, western-derived siliciclastic deposits and subtidal bioturbated and biostromal units (Simpson, 1983; Wiler, 1992). In comparison, the Lemhi Range strata are lighter in color, have more cryptalgal laminations versus bioturbated zones, are interbedded with more sandstone and breccia units and are less fossiliferous. On the basis of SSE-thickening quartz sandstone units, the provenance for recycled sand in the Lemhi Range is interpreted as “from the SE” (Fig. 10). Given the above data and barring local currents and variation in topography, Lemhi Range sediments represent proximal shelf deposits which accumulated on a gentle, ramp-like profile (Read, 1985).

Lithofacies representing outer shelf environments in the western part of east-central Idaho (Fig. 1) do not show any breaks in bathymetry. Deposits in the Lost River Range (600-m to 800+ m thick) and at Fish Creek (245 m) are characterized by dark lower Jefferson Formation subtidal units (Figs. 1, 2, & 9). Laminated dolostones are common to all localities and are present at Grandview Canyon (Fig. 3) with small and rare synsedimentary folds and down-slope rip-up clasts in the Dark Dolomite Member (Fig. 2). Up-section stromatoporoid / coral bioherm facies are similar to Canadian “Nisku” outer shelf facies, but do not show evidence for a foreslope margin (McFadden et al., 1988; Isaacson and Dorobek, 1989; DeSantis, 1996). Although there is evidence for significant base-level fluctuations at this section, environmental interpretations remain limited to “distal ramp” (Dorobek and Filby, 1988). Lithofacies of the Dark Dolomite Member (D3) contrast with peritidal stromatolitic environments lower in the Jefferson Formation section and abundant in the upper Jefferson Formation (Skipp and Sandberg, 1975; Simpson, 1983; Wiler, 1992; this report). In summary, interpretations of depositional environments in the Lost River and Lemhi Ranges are dependent on vertical stacking patterns and lack seaward data.

Lemhi Range Lithofacies

The rest of this paper uses “inner and middle shelf” in reference to proximity to the Lemhi Arch. Lithofacies and interpretations of shelf depositional environments are presented in Table 2b. Interpretations draw from Shinn (1983), Wilson (1975) and contemporaneous Jefferson lithofacies in SW Montana (Dorobek, 1991) and the Lost River Range (Simpson, 1983; Wiler, 1992). We recognize fossiliferous Devonian lithofacies typical of the Williston basin (Wilson, 1975), Montana (Blount, 1986) and western Canada (Switzer et al., 1992; Wendte, 1992). These lithofacies include black, fossiliferous dolostones, common laminated dolo- and lime mudstones and other lighter colored carbonates. Below, we briefly discuss the most common and least discussed Jefferson lithofacies.
Shallowing-Upward Successions

Typical shallowing-upward successions (1 - 20 m thick) in the Jefferson Formation include: 1) a bioturbated, fossiliferous or evenly-laminated dolostone (not algal in origin) interpreted as subtidal open marine or deep middle shelf lagoon, followed by 2) a light gray mottled dolostone or crossbedded siliciclastics formed in shallow subtidal to intertidal areas of an outer shelf shoal or inner shelf tidal flat, followed by 3) cryptalgal laminated orstromatolitic dolostone (intertidal to supratidal), and ending with 4) a subaerial evaporitic breccia cap. Given the resolution of our Jefferson Formation data, we cannot differentiate between autocyclic sediment-controlled prograding successions or allocyclic “punctuated aggradational sequences” (Pratt et al., 1992; and references therein). However, the extreme size and low-angle nature of the Devonian Euramerican shelf (Wilson, 1975) suggests that small relative base level changes resulted in sharp bedding contacts and lithofacies juxtaposition.

Figure 11. Idealized paleogeographic map for the end-Frasnian and Early Famennian (end-D3 / D4 members) in central and east-central Idaho (modified after Wiler, 1992). A global (?) lowstand and subaerial exposure (see Figs. 2, 9 & 12) was followed by deposition of D4 member evaporites (forming later solution breccia) and sandstones on the margins of the Central Idaho Trough. This map represents transition from a more open marine lower Jefferson ramp (miogeocline) to a shallow, restricted, and subsiding upper Jefferson shelf (distal Antler foreland?). Grandview Member - members D4, D5 and D6) were deposited with fluctuating (glacio-eustatic?) sea levels.
Laminated Dolomudstone

Light and dark planar-laminated dolostones are the most common lithofacies in all members and their wide range of depositional environments (outer shelf to inner shelf) depends on supra-, inter- and subtidal association to other lithofacies. In the D1, D2, D4, D5 and D6 members (Figs. 9 & 10) laminated dolomudstones are sometimes associated with crinkly-laminated rocks or LLH stromatolites. In this case they are considered “cryptalgal” because of the lack of associated open marine fossils. When these rocks are in association with quartz sandstone deposits with high-angle and herringbone crossbeds, light gray fenestral dolostones or evaporite-solution breccia, then they are interpreted as shallow-water “inner shelf.”

Conversely, rhythmically-laminated dolomudstones are also associated with burrowed and fossiliferous beds or well bioturbated mudstones with sparse skeletal grains. These lithofacies represent shallow to deep subtidal, possibly turbiditic lithofacies of the outer shelf or intra-shelf depressions. Laminations sometimes exhibit an undulatory form and low-angle truncation and may also represent offshore storm-influenced primary structures. Alternatively, in association with monospecific Amphipora wackestones and floatstones, laminations are interpreted as suspension settling layers in quiet waters that were periodically affected by currents and intense burrowing. We argue that conditions controlling the preservation of laminations may relate to subsidence, sediment supply rates, restricted oxygen levels, temperature and salinity. Without other lithofacies, they provide no clear depth indication (after Kendall, 1992).

Evaporite-Solution Breccia

Breccia beds (0.1 - 40 m thick) can be laterally continuous (stratiform) or discontinuous. Clasts are white, red and dark gray dolostone and limestone with rare quartzite or chert. Breccias may be matrix- or clast-supported. Carbonate matrices with detrital (frosted) quartz grains are common. Angular clasts are sometimes stromatolitic. Some matrices contain disseminated red siltstones and mud chips. Evaporite pseudomorphs and flow structures are rare. Breccia beds are associated with shallow-water, quartz sandstone beds, cryptagalaminated and LLH stromatolites and also occur in contact with featureless dolo- and lime mudstone.

Six different types of breccias and seven different brecciating mechanisms in the Lemhi Range are discussed by M’Gonigle (1982). Generally referred to as evaporite-solution breccias or “rauhwacke,” these diagenetically and structurally overprinted shallow marine evaporitic carbonates are proxies for evaporite deposition and subaerial exposure. Lithofacies associations suggest that the breccias are supratidal to intertidal and accumulated in sabkha and restricted platform conditions in a semi-arid to arid climate. Associated reworked (recycled?) sandstone beds and quartz grains in breccia matrices probably originated in aeolian environments to the east.

Banded Dolomite D1 and D2 Members

D1 Description

A ubiquitous system of shallow channels filled with quartz sandstone overlies Lower Paleozoic dolostone and previous paleovalley deposits (Fig. 5). Dark to light gray and yellowish gray, thinly laminated, partly sandy, peliliferous dolostone is interbedded with yellow, fine- to medium-grained dolomitic and quartz sandstone. Cross-bedding and algal laminations are common. Very thin to thickly bedded sandstone / dolostone cycles (20 cm to 4 m thick) include thick bioturbated dark beds and lighter gray beds with planar laminations. Discontinuous dolomitic breccias (< 2 m) vary from a rare flat pebble type to evaporite-solution collapse breccia. Clast-supported pebble conglomerates are interbedded with “stripy” D1 member rocks at Badger Creek (Figs. 9 & 10).

Sandstones are common throughout the lower part of the D1 member. They are well sorted, fine- to medium-grained, well rounded, and generally have a sheet-like geometry. Lenticular beds are present near the top of the member below conspicuous fossiliferous “D1 marker bed(s),” (Fig. 9). Black dolofloatstones (3-15 m thick) are sharply interbedded with a white weathering microcrystalline dolomudstone at Liberty Gulch, Horseshoe Gulch and Cedar Run (Figs. 5 & 10).

D1 Interpretation

The D1 member is organized into a 0 to 107 m thick stack of supratidal to subtidal rocks characterized by cyclic lithofacies successions and a marked decrease in the proportion of siliciclastic deposits upward through the section. A general paucity of gradational contacts suggests an abrupt lateral distribution or rapid migration of facies (Mount, 1984). Deposits record a gradual, upward-deepening trend with more dark bioturbated beds up-section.

Basal sandstones represent proximal to distal tidal channels with lateral tidal flats. Accumulation of coarse-grained rocks at Badger Creek (Figs. 5 & 10) suggest that this area was adjacent to steeper topography (fault?). The appearance of sandstones up-section suggests increasing energy and sea-level fall. This event is followed by deepening which is represented by open marine organisms and preserved storm deposits of the D1 marker bed. Unusual recrystallized algal plate beds found in association with large globular stromatoporoids (“spaghetti beds” which are not Amphipora) have been previously noted at other localities in Idaho and Montana (Scholten and Hait, 1962). These dark beds represent a rapid transgression. The D1 marker bed lies directly below ubiquitous yellow, intra-clastic sandstones with scour marks and wave ripple crossbeds. This (sequence) boundary is interpreted as a widely correlated disconformity with the D2 member (Figs. 9 & 10).

Sharply-banded peritidal facies dominate the D1 member with siliciclastic influx probably from the east (local highs and the exposed Montana shelf, see hatched area in Fig. 6). Peritidal shallowing-upward successions represent shelf response to overall sea level rise with aggradation and progradation over a low-angle ramp during 3rd order (?) transgression (Fig. 12).

D2 Description

In comparison with the D1 member, the D2 member (Fig. 9) has a higher percentage of light colored, generally thicker carbonate beds including minor but thick, dark fossiliferous beds.
Figure 12. Devonian eustatic curve for Euramerica (Johnson et al., 1985) correlated to lithostratigraphic Lemhi Range Jefferson Formation members and Alberta mega-cycles (not to scale). Isaacson et al. (1998, in press) suggest an alternative, more regressive Famennian sea-level curve characterized by abundant hiatuses on the equatorial Idaho shelf (Grandview Member) and on other continents. Hiatuses were caused by Gondwanan glaciation events.
Siliciclastic material is abundant at the base of the member marking a sharp change from the D1 marker beds below. D2 lithofacies are similar to D1 lithofacies, although thicker fossiliferous or bioturbated beds are overlain by cryptagalaminated beds and are capped by birdseye dolostones rather than discontinuous breccias as in D1. Thin-bedded crossbedded quartz sandstone units are present at Horseshoe Gulch (Fig. 10), but diminish up-section. At Liberty Gulch these rock types are present with matrix-supported conglomerate, solution breccias, tan-colored fenestral dolomudstones and very light gray planar laminated units. Up-section, brachiopod wackestone beds and black dolofloatstone are present with bulbous stromatoporoids. This member is capped by light-colored, laminated, conglomeric sandstone and the presence of oncolites at both Liberty Gulch and Horseshoe Gulch. Contact with the D3 member (Dark Dolomite Member) is gradational.

**D2 Interpretation**

Member D2 is separated from member D1 by a disconformity that may be related to the Taghanic onlap event in Appalachia (Johnson et al., 1985). Like D1, D2 represents a deepening-upward sequence with periods of autocyclic carbonate aggradation during stillstands. Shallow shelf, wave-dominated siliciclastic beds of the basal D2 member continue up-section with rhythmically-laminated and bioturbated dolostones and local intraclastic deposits. The upper part of D2 represents subtidal middle shelf environments.

High-energy winnowed grainstones are entirely lacking. Instead, D2 lithofacies are similar to the lime mudstone-dominated shoaling-upward shelf cycles of the standard facies belts 6 - 9 (Wilson, 1975). A greater percentage of light gray carbonate beds give this member a light colored appearance and suggests more efficient water circulation over the shelf. Dark dolomudstone beds cut across this member at the Horseshoe Gulch cirque representing depositional excursions into deeper environments (anoxic?). Stromatoporoid beds were briefly established here during open marine conditions prior to shallowing and restriction by carbonate aggradation. End-D2 member oncolitic lithofacies suggest the westward migration of intertidal environments during a highstand.

**Regional Distribution of Banded Dolomite Members**

**D1 and D2**

Isopach thinning and facies changes of the D1 and D2 members over the Badger Creek area are interpreted as the flank of the (earlier questioned) Lemhi Arch (Figs. 5 & 10). Banded D1 and D2 members do not occur south of Uncle Ike Creek or in the Beaverhead Range (also noted by Scholten and Hait, 1962). The mostly conformable central Lemhi Range sections are therefore contrasted by hiatuses to the SSE (e.g., Black Canyon). At Railroad Canyon (Fig. 1), 70 m of Jefferson strata include the upper D1 and lower D2 members (Ruppel and Lopez, 1988). At Copper Mountain we report thin deposits of Jefferson and Three Forks Formations in a faulted relationship. Similar relationships exist at Black Canyon (Mapel and Sandberg, 1968), where lower Jefferson rocks may not be present or represent condensed sections (Fig. 10). Scholten and Hait (1962) suggested that all of these rocks are the upper Jefferson Formation.

Similar to D1, a basal member (Unit I, Fig. 2) is 30 to 100 m thick and overlies the Carey Dolomite in the central and southern Lost River Range (Wiler, 1992). Jefferson Formation sediments in this area accumulated in shallow water and were influenced by a long-lived western positive area; anomalous facies were recorded at Fish Creek and Borah Peak (Wiler, 1992). The western equivalent of the D2 member in the Lost River Range (Unit II) varies from 110 m to 190 m. These rocks are dark, bioturbated or fossiliferous dolostones and light-colored, laminated dolostones (Wiler, 1992).

The restricted inner to middle shelf setting of the (Givetian - Frasnian) D1 and D2 members in the Lemhi Range are similar to the low-energy, lime-mudstone cycles described from the Duperow Formation in the Williston basin (Wilson, 1975). The latter Frasnian rocks formed in a vast, shallow back-reef lagoon behind the Cooking Lake platform and Leduc reefs of Alberta.

**Dark Dolomite D3 Member**

**D3 Description**

The Dark Dolomite D3 member is a thick-beded, dark gray, fossiliferous and bioturbated dolostone interbedded with medium gray, laminated dolomudstone. D3 is 182 m thick at Liberty Gulch and Horseshoe Gulch, but it thins and changes character to the SSE (Fig. 10). At Cedar Run, Badger Creek and Bunting Canyon, black petrolierous, stromatoporoid dolorudstones are interbedded with abundant crossbedded sandstones, stratiform breccia units and large, stacked columnar stromatoliths. At Uncle Ike Creek, D3 is 85 m thick and characterized by subequal amounts of yellow quartzite and sandstone, black Amphipora wackestone beds and algal-laminated dolobindstone. At Black Canyon, dark bioturbated dolostone beds with Syringopora debris and hard grounds are 23+ m thick. Rare carbonate cavity fabrics resemble those described at a Frasnian impact site in Nevada (Warme and Kuehner, 1998). These rocks have D3 lithofacies affilation but correlation is uncertain (Fig. 10).

Abrupt along-strike changes in biofacies characterize the D3 member in the central Lemhi Range. Biofacies and isopach variations also are present in equivalent rocks (Unit III - Fig. 2) in the Lost River Range (Wiler, 1992). We report a small stromatoporoid-algal buildup (15 m) at Horseshoe Gulch and diverse fossil assemblages at Liberty Gulch (Fig. 10); Thamnopora coral debris, Amphipora floatstones and gastropod beds are associated with planar-laminated dolostones and relate to facies described at the Grandview Canyon bioherm (Isaacson and Dorobek, 1989; DeSantis, 1996). Reports of Lost River Range biostromes (Wiler, 1992) led to the discovery of new buildups in the western part of the study area at Rock and Sheep Creek (DeSantis and Grader, ongoing field work, Fig. 1).

**D3 Interpretation**

Regional evidence for deeper water environments includes the lack of current-generated structures and thick, dark gray, bioturbated and biostromal dolostones suggesting photic, open marine deposition. Thick, organic-rich dolomudstone beds are the subtidal component of asymmetrical, shallowing-upward successions that commonly end in disrupted fossiliferous facies or
rare sedimentary breccias. The geometry and facies of D3 shallowing-upward successions are different from the peritidal successions of the D1 and D2 members (although planar-laminated lithofacies are common to both).

Establishment of this Dark Dolomite Member suggests a gradual eastward back-stepping of facies belts (drowning) onto the Montana craton. However, proximal to the Lemhi Arch (Figs. 10 & 11), monospecific *Amphipora* dolowackestones reflect shallow quiet lagoonal environments. At Uncle Ike Creek, these lithofacies are sharply interbedded with crossbedded sandstones indicating alternation with nearshore intertidal environments (Fig. 10). At Cedar Run, algal laminations, columnar stromatolites, fenestral fabrics and solution breccias suggest that autocyclic shallowing occurred over this topographic high during generally transgressive conditions. Alternatively, allocyclic sea level drops occurred. Abrupt vertical facies changes and hardgrounds suggest disconformities and condensed sections in the southern Lemhi Range (Lemhi Arch, Figs. 10 & 11).

We interpret a gradual ramp (Read, 1985) with middle and outer shelf biogenic control of topography. Western, Lost River Range buildups and eastern Lemhi Range back-reef facies suggest topographic buildup at the shelf margin. Presence of lagoonal depressions with stromatoporoid patch reefs, intertidal environments on the Lemhi Arch (Fig. 10), and lack of stenohaline pelmatozoans (common only at King Mountain, Moser, 1981 - Fig. 1) suggest variable middle shelf environments in the Lemhi Range. Limited circulation and wave fetch is suggested. Sandstones remained trapped on the inner shelves east (and west?) of the study area. Sub-basins and overall shallow subtidal environments (with shallow conodont biofacies) were also suggested for equivalent rocks in the Lost River Range (Wiler, 1992).

D3/D4 (Frasnian/Famennian) Boundary

Paleogeography

Consecutive deepening and shallowing events are recorded by detailed biofacies stacking patterns in the Dark Dolomite Member (D3) at the Grandview buildup (DeSantis, 1996; Figs. 1 & 11). These events and changes in sedimentation following subaerial unconformity have been ascribed to base level changes - i.e., drowning, subaerial exposure, continental loading and tectonic uplift (Antler Orogeny), global extinction events, increased sediment supply and turbidity (Dorobek and Filby, 1988; Isaacs and Dorobek, 1989). Siliciclastic shallow-water sedimentation “from the west” due to outer shelf uplift is not well documented (e.g., paleocurrent data of Wiler, 1992), but general facies patterns in the Lost River Range support this depositional setting (Simpson, 1983; Isaacs et al., 1983a).

We provide a paleogeographic interpretation of the region during end-D3 subaerial exposure and D4 member deposition (Fig. 11). Global glacio-eustatic draw-down was accompanied by major shifts in benthic marine habitat and later Famennian climate fluctuations (Sandberg et al., 1988b; Isaacs et al., 1998, in press; Fig. 12).

D4 Member (Lower Grandview Member)

D4 Description

The D4 member (“unnamed member,” Isaacs et al., 1983b), consists of ≤122 m of light to dark limestone, with minor reddish limestone, dark gray dolomite, limestone breccia and fine- to coarse-grained sandstone beds. Laminated limestone and massive quartzite beds are common. Rare mudcracks are present within thick yellow and red solution breccias at Horseshoe Gulch and Bear Mountain (Figs. 1 & 10). At Cedar Run, 97 m of section is dominated by planar and crossbedded fine- to coarse-grained quartz sandstones interbedded with crinkly-laminated algal dolobindstone, LLH stromatolites and breccia units. These lithofacies grade laterally into *Amphipora* wackestones.

Basal D4 breccias in the central Lemhi Range are similar to those at Grandview Canyon where undulatory paleorelief with breccia and mixed carbonate and sandstone strata overlie the Grandview bioherm (Isaacson and Dorobek, 1989). In the Lost River Range, Wiler (1992) characterized his Unit IV (Fig. 2) by the increase in light-colored beds, dominant dolomudstones, basal intralacustrine zones, common quartz sandstone and algal bindstones with shallow-water features.

D4 Interpretation

Grandview Dolomite equivalent beds in the Lemhi Range (D4 through D5) are above the same unconformity as documented in the Lost River Range (Wiler, 1992; Isaacs and Filby, 1988; Figs. 2, 10 & 12). This time interval (end-Frasnian to Famennian) witnessed end-Frasnian global sea level drop, the end of Nisku reefs in Canada and the time of anoxic Kellwasser events (Wendt, 1992; Sandberg et al., 1988b). Thick quartz sandstone bodies and associated solution breccias with shallow-water indicators (e.g., herringbone crossbedding) suggest “inner shelf” environments in the Lemhi Range. These deposits may represent regressive outer shelf barrier beach sands with inner and outer shoreface environments - the ‘missing barrier facies’ of SW Montana (Dorobek, 1991). Coeval analogues include the Beirdnean Formation, Utah (Beus, 1968), the Quartz Spring Member of the Lost Burro Formation, California (Albright, 1991) and Canadian siliciclastics of the early Wabanum / Palliser megasequence (Morrow and Geldsetzer, 1988).

In the Badger Creek area, predicted D4 facies changes are present where changes in the isopachs and facies of D3, D2, D1 and earlier deposits preceded them (Figs. 5 & 10). Mixed sandstone and carbonate rock types and thick local sandstone deposits suggest overall sea level regression with variable accommodation space (related to tectonic subsidence?). It is inferred that thin lower Jefferson deposits on the Lemhi Arch (e.g., Black Canyon) were subject to subaerial erosion at this time (Figs. 10 & 11).

Sandstone beds are thin at D4 localities in the central Lemhi Range (Fig. 10); they are interbedded with algal carbonates, lagoonal *Amphipora* floatstones and several evaporite-solution breccias. Distribution of D4 sandstone lithofacies and associations suggest a local sand source (and facies belt stacking) and aggradational evaporite tidal flats in the Lemhi Range. Thicker isopachs and shoaling marine conditions in the Lost River Range (Fig. 11) suggest that the Central Idaho Trough underwent differential subsidence as it filled with sediment.

From conodont data, the lower half of Unit IV in the Lost River Range (D4 equivalent - Fig. 2) occurs entirely in the
**D5 Member (Upper Grandview “False Birdbear” Member)**

D5 Description

The D5 member and its equivalent Unit V in the Lost River Range are known as the False Birdbear Member (Mapel and Sandberg, 1968; Fig. 2). D5 is composed of ≤214 m of dark gray, petrolierous dolostone with light gray dolostone, limestone, sandstone, and strataform carbonate breccia beds (Figs. 9 & 10). At Horseshoe Gulch a thick dark dolomite D5 unit is composed of alternating bioturbated and cryptalgalaminated beds with sparse fossils including *Amphipora* and algal-coated gastropods. At Cedar Run, 70 m of sandy, laminated dolostone with quartzite interbeds correlates (?) with D5 rocks in the central Lemhi Range (Fig. 10). The contacts with the D4 and D6 members are gradational and include multiple breccia units. At Black Canyon and Uncle Ike Creek, the D5 member is represented respectively by only 23+ m and 39 m of bioturbated, sparsely fossiliferous, cryptalgalaminated and stromatolitic dolomudstones, thin breccia units and quartzite beds. At these localities, the D6 member is absent and the Jefferson Formation is overlain by the Trident and basal Sappington Members of the Three Forks Formation (Fig. 2).

Compared to the D4 and D6 members, the D5 member has fewer breccia intervals, more dark bioturbated dolostone beds and abundant cryptalgalaminated beds. In the Lost River Range the False Birdbear (Unit V - Fig. 2) is thinner (~100 m thick) and crinoid grainstones are also found interbedded with common cryptalgalaminated lithofacies (Wiler, 1992).

D5 Interpretation

As breccia beds increase in thickness up-section and crop out poorly, interpretation of the stratigraphic record deteriorates. Evaporites associated with D5 solution breccia beds have not been identified in outcrop, but pseudomorphs after evaporites are present in equivalent strata in the Lost River Range (Simpson, 1983). Evaporites are also reported in the subsurface near the Tendoy Mountains (M’Gonigle, 1982). Artifacts after evaporites, meteorite fragments, and common cryptalgal rocks suggest supra- to shallow subtidal restricted conditions.

Rocks of the central Lemhi Range record evaporite-carbonate lowstand/flood cycles, which started during the end-Frasnian and continued into the Famennian. Rapid (?) fluctuations of base level changes controlled these cycles which were preserved probably due to differential tectonic subsidence. Overall this member represents a transgression (Fig. 12). Inter-glacial transgression has been previously proposed for the (same) False Birdbear Member (Sandberg et al., 1988a).

**D6 Member (Logan Gulch Member of the Three Forks Formation)**

D6 Description

The D6 member is ≤168+ m thick at Liberty Gulch and thins over the Badger Creek area (Fig. 10). D6 consists of thick-bedded, medium to light gray dolostone, limestone evaporite-solution breccia and minor fine-grained sandstone interbeds. The unit is barren of skeletal remains. Thin bioturbated dark dolostone beds are interbedded with very thick breccias near the base. In the Lost River Range, Wiler (1992) reported a 10 to 30 m thick Unit VI (D6 equivalent?) that is a light and dark sandy dolomudstone. Wiler also noted diverse metazoans, bioturbation, algal laminated rocks and 26 m of quartz arenite at Borah Peak (Figs. 1 & 2).

D6 Interpretation

This member can best be described as “damned rubble” (after Laznicka, 1988) and is correlative with the “evaporative Logan Gulch Member” of the Three Forks Formation in Montana (Fig. 2; Sloss and Laird, 1947; Sandberg, 1962). These thick evaporite successions interbedded with bioturbated carbonates suggest lowstands were followed by occasional flooding. Thin red shales interbedded with laminated dolostone (as reported from lower Three Forks Fm. in Montana; Rau, 1968) are observed as red mud chips within massive breccia units in the Lemhi Range. Comparable depositional environments suggest high salinity and scattered briny ponds over embayments and sub-basins (after Rau, 1968). Part of the Lemhi Range was a restricted shallow basin which experienced major drops in base level that exposed most of the Famennian shelf. This process may have been rapid with possible phreatic dissolution at separate horizons (Isaacson et al., 1997).

Jefferson Formation Paleotectonic and Depositional Setting

From a sedimentological standpoint we cautiously apply Walter’s law to Devonian facies patterns to explain paleo-tectonic setting. Interpretations of 1) a restricted Lower Devonian shelf (Dehler, 1995; see above), 2) ramp-type Middle to Upper Devonian deposits with limited western buildups (Dorobek and Isaacson, 1989), and 3) shoaling Upper Devonian environments influenced by eastern and western paleogeographic shelf features (Sandberg et al., 1975; Moser, 1981; Simpson, 1983; Isaacson et al., 1983a; this report) suggest an overall transitional “passive margin,” yet not a west-thickening wedge of sediment. That Jefferson Formation deposits in the Lost River Range were distal ramp deposits, part of an Antler (shale-filled) foredeep (Dorobek et al., 1991; Fig. 3) is problematic because isopach and shallow local facies changes suggest a two-sided (east to west) lensoid-shaped sequence of rocks (Poole et al., 1992). This is manifested in the Central Idaho Trough (Wiler, 1992; Figs. 3 & 11) which is dominated by shallow peritidal, (pericratonic?) Jefferson Formation facies.

Development of the Central Idaho Trough caused basin restriction as reflected in dolomudstone-dominated lagoonal, and evaporite-solution breccia facies of the upper Jefferson Formation. We question whether the cause of this progression was by
DISCUSSION

Environmental interpretations of Lower, Middle, and Upper Devonian faeces in Idaho suggest a broad shift from a wet to arid climate. This is inferred by the change from Early Devonian karst features and associated fluvio-karst incised valleys, to Middle Devonian shallow marine systems and Late Devonian evaporitic basins. This trend is compatible with northward Euramerican drift into an arid belt (Witzke and Heckel, 1988; Boucot, 1988) but is also associated with a change from greenhouse to icehouse climate modes (Worsely et al., 1984).

The primary purpose of this paper is to describe the details of Devonian faeces relations within a regional stratigraphic framework. Understanding regional palaeogeography and depositional controls will lead to a better understanding of the effects of these on early vertebrate evolution and will help to differentiate tectonic from eustatic stratigraphic signatures. Our preliminary depositional sequences, lithostratigraphic and palaeogeographic interpretations are summarized in Figs. 4, 6, 7, 10 & 11 and are correlated to sea-level curves in Figs. 8 & 12. Topics and questions regarding local, regional and global Devonian depositional controls are addressed below.

1. Lower Devonian Vertebrate Fauna and Depositional Environments

Vertebrate faunal distributions across Idaho during the Early and Middle Devonian were controlled by sequence-scale transgressive/regressive cycles (Figs. 7 & 8) and afford a significant window into the Age of the Fishes. The discovery of thelodonts and tessarate elements (microvertebrates) in clastic deposits is significant for biorstratigraphic control because it offers transitional faeces index fossils that link condont-bearing distal faeces with macrovertebrate (fish) fauna of marginal marine to terrestrial faeces. Habitat in “calanque-type” drowned fluvio-karst valleys and related nearshore environments (Fig. 6) was essential (?) for vertebrate evolution and may be responsible for Middle Devonian (?) pteraspid hold-overs at Spring Mountain Canyon.

2a. Depositional Versus Tectonic Thinning in the Lemhi Range

Facies patterns along the NNW-SSE Lemhi transect line support a transitional facies zone and hinge line at Badger Creek (Fig. 10). This hinge stepped eastward and was responsible for thinning trends and controls of Lower Devonian siliciclastic rocks at Meadow Peak and conglomerate debris flows in the Badger Creek area. Up-section at Badger Creek, Frasnian and Famennian deposition of lagoonal and high-energy D4 strandline deposits represent up-dip shallowing along the flank of the Lemhi Arch. Paleo-tectonic controls are implicated, however we argue that the D1 - D6 members thin depositionally and passively towards the southern Lemhi Range (Fig. 10). These facies changes are not an artifact of Late Palaeozoic uplift or Sevier/Laramide thrust juxtaposition.

2b. Control of Stratigraphic Stacking Patterns

We have assembled a 3rd order sequence stratigraphy for Lower and Middle Devonian strata (Figs. 4, 7 & 8). However, a defining sequence stratigraphic interpretation is still premature for Upper Devonian rocks. Underlying causes of base level change for Lower and Middle Devonian rocks cannot be narrowed beyond theoretical global tectonic cycles and ocean volume changes (Prothero, 1990). There is also no evidence of glaciations driving Early Devonian sea-level changes. Conversely, glacio-eustatic controls were previously anticipated for the Upper Devonian Jefferson Formation in Montana (Dorobek, 1991), the Devonian of Eurameria (Johnson et al., 1985), and are implicated in other Late Devonian data (Schlager, 1981; Copper, 1986; Stearn, 1987; Sandberg et al., 1988b). We believe that the thick upper Jefferson strata of east-central Idaho contain a good record of relative base level shifts including glacio-eustatic sea-level changes.

Regional Jefferson Formation facies changes allow for some comparison of tectonic (foreland) versus eustatic depositional controls. Most important is the end-Frasnian (D3/D4) unconformity and widespread change in sedimentation across the entire shelf (Figs. 11 & 12). This may have been a result of brittle response to outboard loading and flexure of continental crust. Yet this interpretation must also compete with extrabasinal hiatuses related to periglacial data from Gondwana, Frasnian/Famennian extinction and (global?) changes in Famennian sedimentation (Caputo, 1985; Caputo and Crowell, 1985; Buggisch, 1991; Isaacson et al., 1997; 1998, in press; Diaz-Martinez et al., 1998, in prep.).

We are skeptical that sea-level turn-around, thick evaporite solution breccias and sandstone deposition in the Lemhi Range are solely explained by tectonic controls (and siliciclastic influx “from the west”). We argue that siliciclastic and evaporite deposits were ubiquitous during eustatic draw-down and that the shallow Central Idaho Trough had a long-lived eastern siliciclastic source area. On the basis of compelling evidence for Late Devonian climate change, we submit that glacio-eustatic sea-level changes influenced the equatorial Idaho shelf and upper Jefferson stacking patterns starting at end-Frasnian time (Figs. 2, 10 & 12). Glacio-eustatic signals were overprinted onto slower Antler tectonic depositional controls.
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